

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/889,599 | 10/19/2001 | Jason G Kettle | P 0281500 | 6903 |
| 9629 | 7590 09/23/2002 | | | |
| MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP | | | EXAMINER | |
| | YLVANIA AVENUE N ON, DC 20004 | W · | . ROBINSON, | BINTA M |
| | | · | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | • | | 1625 | |
| , · · · · | | • | DATE MAILED: 09/23/2002 | 10 |
| • | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| man gar - A mahair | | <u> </u> | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| | 09/889,599 | KETTLE ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Binta M. Robinson | 1625 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed vs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi | is action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condit | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray | vn from consideration. | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) 8 and 10 is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | r alastian requirement | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers | election requirement. | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | r. | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accep | A STATE OF THE STA | miner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep | bly to this Office action. | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. | • | | | |
| Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a | a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received in Applicat | ion No | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list. | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(| e) (to a provisional application). | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | • | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5 | 5) Notice of Informal | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| 0 D | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/889,599

Art Unit: 1625

Detailed Action

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The steps involved in converting a compound of formula I into another compound of the formula I as well as removing any protective groups critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure is missing. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). The applicant does not disclose the steps involved in converting a compound of formula I into another compound of the formula I as well as removing any protective groups.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A. Claim 10 is indefinite for being improper product use claims. Applicant is referred to Clinical Products v. Brenner -Commissioner of Patents) 149 USPQ 475 (District Court DC 66) Ex parte Dunki 153 USPQ 678 (Bd of Appeals 1967). Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 9 are allowable.

The IDS filed at paper no. 5 has been considered.

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binta M. Robinson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5437. The examiner can normally be reached on M-(9:30-6:00). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alan Rotman can be reached on (703)308-4698. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7922 for regular communications and (703)308-7922 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0193.

Binta Robinson

September 15, 2002

ALAN L. ROTMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

alan L. Roturan